

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,490	10/26/2001	Makoto Takahashi	10416-15	9748
30076	7590 10/06/2003	·	EXAM	INER
	AYSMAN MILLSTEI	NGUYEN, DUNG T		
SUITE 711 1880 CENTURY PARK EAST LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	/// .	AL			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
*	10/039,490	TAKAHASHI, MAKOTO			
∴ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dung (Michael) T. Nguyen	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply it is reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rivod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tatute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed i) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>28 July 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,8-12 and 18-20</u> is/are per	nding in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.	_			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Paul Do			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,9-12 and 16-20</u> is/are reje	cted.	Jan ON			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Appli	cation No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of Infon	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 10/039,490

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-12, and 16-20 in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged.

Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed error in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP\$ 818.03(a)). Applicant has the right to file a divisional application covering the subject matter of the non-elected claims.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that see the election paper. This is not found persuasive because the field of search for the evanescent optical head system classified in class 359 and the semiconductor laser classified in class 372 are not coextensive and the determinations of patentability of the evanescent optical head system classified in class 359 and the semiconductor laser classified in class 372 are different. Thus, separate searches are required.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-12, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scifres et al. (US4280107) in view of Uno et al. (US6240235).

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claims 1-2, 8, 10-12, and 16-17, Scifres show in Fig.2 a semiconductor laser having a beam emitting facet 11 and a light emitting region 38 formed on the beam emitting facet 11. Scifres do not disclose a marker. Uno teach a marker being a concavity or a convexity (col.18, 1. 8-10). For the benefit of indicating the location of the emitting region, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Scifres a marker as taught by Uno.

With respect to claims 3, 5, 9, and 18-19, Scifres show in Fig.2 a light shielding film 18 covering the emitting region 38 and having a small opening 22.

With respect to claims 6 and 20, Scifres show in Fig.2 a dielectric film 16 between the beam emitting facet 11 and the light shielding film 18.

Citation of The Pertinent References

The following US patents are being made of record, even though they were not relied upon in this Office action, for being similar in subject matter, and may be relied upon in any future Office Actions: 5573976, 4852112, 5625617, 2002/0018501, 6411636, 5960019, 5548137, 5998068.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,490

Art Unit: 2828

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Nguyen (Michael) Dung

PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Paul D

Page 4